Findings from EA wide fire prevention audits

◆ A summary of key learning points from fire prevention audits carried out 2017-2020.

By J Spence Seaman







Some good practice from Fire Prevention audits

- Fire suppression systems
- Use of thermal imaging cameras linked to fighting systems
- Request visits by FRS & detailed site plan review
- Basic appropriate training in place for most staff and contractors (all shift patterns)
- Generally good PPM on critical or high risk equipment & electrical circuits



Some poor practice from Fire Prevention audits

- Lack of training on fire management
- Lack of testing on site fire fighting equipment
- Lack of knowledge on site drainage systems
- Dust management & control at tissue mills still lacking
- Variability in Permits to carry out "hot works"
- Lack of pressure testing of fire fighting circuits
- Alarms not always linked to SCADA systems
- Lack of defined quarantine areas



Key findings from Fire Prevention audits: issues

- Lack of engagement from FRS locally and HSE?
- What is acceptable frequency of testing for fire fighting equipment (insurance Co's)
- Variable frequencies of exercises (some none)
- Variable criteria for hot works inspections
- How much to rely on technology v's physical inspections
- ♦ How transferable are our findings for mills across to waste storage facilities and associated infrastructure?
- What should we expect for testing of incoming waste paper bales?

website salvage shot



ent

Next Steps

- Help promote the recently launched fire prevention guidance
- Share best practice amongst yourselves (PABIAC?)
- Talk to you FRS contact requesting a site inspection
- Talk to your HSE inspector
- ◆ Talk to your EA Inspector.....

